M. BISCHOF 1,2, S. SZMUKLER-MONCLER 1 N. NURDIN 1, J. SAMSON 1,2, R. NEDIR 1,2



(1) Clinique Dentaire de Chauderon Swiss Dental Clinics Group Lausanne, Switzerland.



(2) Department of Stomatology and Oral Surgery School of Dental Medicine University of Geneva, Switzerland.

M. BISCHOF, S. SZMUKLER-MONCLER, N. NURDIN, J. SAMSON, R. NEDIR

Prosthetic complication data are scarcely reported, especially when issued from private practice.

This paper documents the prosthetic complications that occurred over 10-year of our implant practice with ITI implants, while all implants have been loaded for at least 1 year.

M. BISCHOF, S. SZMUKLER-MONCLER, N. NURDIN, J. SAMSON, R. NEDIR

INCLUSION / EXCLUSION CRITERIA

Wide inclusion criteria

- Evaluation of general health, local examination, no biological test
- Bruxing patients: but where treated 1 implant / missing unit, no night-guards
- Smokers, light and heavy, whatever, no difference
- Medical risk patients: HIV > 0, Malignant pathologies,
 - Heart disease, Controlled diabetes
 - Coagulation deficiency
- No specific delay between tooth extraction and implant placement
- Short implants

Exclusion criteria

- Malignant pathologies in cervico-facial area
- Uncontrolled diabetes

M. BISCHOF, S. SZMUKLER-MONCLER, N. NURDIN, J. SAMSON, R. NEDIR

Between January 1995 and December 2004 1815 implants were placed to rehabilitate 799 patients.

Anatomical and prosthetic distribution			Number of implants	
Location	Mandible		945 (52.1 %)	
	Maxilla		870 (47.9 %)	
	Posterior area		1269 (69.9 %)	
Rehabilitations	Single crowns		571	
	Short-span bridges		384	
	Full-arch bridges		6	
	Overdentures	Ball-anchored	110	
		Bar-anchored	27	
	Cemented prostheses		83.4 %	
	Screwed prostheses		16.6 %	

Complications recorded at each visit, compiled in an electronic database				
Fixed prosthesis	 Abutment fracture Abutment loosening Prosthesis debounding Screw loosening Veneer fracture (major) Veneer fracture (minor) 			
Removable prosthesis	-Adjustments: attachment and clip reactivation -Foreseeable complications: change of attachment and clip, relining - Unforeseeable complications: fracture of teeth, bar or prosthesis			

Complications observed over the 10-year implant practice				
Fixed prosthesis	- Abutment fracture - Abutment loosening - Prosthesis debonding	0.07 % 0.3 % 1.1 %		
prostnesis	- Screw loosening	0.7 % 1.6 % 3.3 %		
Removable prosthesis	-Adjustments: attachment and clip reactivation -Foreseeable complications: change of attachment and clip, relining	21.9 %, recurrent up to 6x		
	- Unforeseeable complications: fracture of teeth, bar or prosthesis	15.3 %		

Complications observed over the 10-year implant practice				
Fixed prosthesis	- Single crowns - Bridges	5.4 % 7.2 %		
	- Single molars	8.5 %		
	- Anterior prostheses - Posterior prostheses	1.4 % 7.3 %		
	- Screw-retained - Cemented	4.5 % 6.6 %		
	- Complication free	90.5 %		
Removable prosthesis	- Complications free Ball-anchoredBar-anchored	62.3 % 62.7 %		

- Complication with manufacturer components is a rare event.
- 2. Complications with Fixed Prostheses is a rare event.
- 3. No difference was found between screw- and cement-retained bridges.
- 4. More complications in the posterior area than in the anterior.
- Complications with Overdentures is not a rare event.
- However, a clustering effect was identified: fewer prostheses contributed to complications.

